

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/669,124	Applicant(s) ROSENBERGER, JOEL	
	Examiner Christopher A. Revak	Art Unit 2131	

All Participants:

(1) Christopher A. Revak.

(2) Tracy Powell.

Status of Application: RCE filed after advisory action

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 July 2007

Time: 1:00pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 112 2nd paragraph

Claims discussed:

1,18,34

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The claims recite of a device profile, however it is unclear how the determination is made if an unknown wireless device is authorized. According to the remaining claims, and the applicant's specification, this determination is made in regards to the device profile. The applicant's representative agreed to an examiner's amendment to clarify the claims..